

IBOM MEDICAL JOURNAL Vol.12 No.2 August, 2019. Pages 79-87 www.ibommedicaljournal.org

INITIAL SYMPTOMS AND LATE PRESENTATION OF HIV INFECTED PERSONS SEEN IN HIV CLINICS UYO, AKWA IBOM STATE

Motilewa OO, Johnson OE, Ekanem US.

Department of Community Health, University of Uyo/University of Uyo Teaching Hospital, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Background: Recognition of HIV symptoms may be associated with the stage in which HIV infected persons, present at health facilities to seek care, this study aimed at describing the initial symptoms of People living with HIV (PLWHIV) and determine socio demographic characteristics associated with late presentation at the HIV clinics in Uyo.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional descriptive study carried out on PLWHIV at initial visits to either of the two HIV clinics in Uyo. Data was collected using a pre-tested interviewer administered questionnaire, while CD4 and clinical stage were obtained from the patients' folders.

Result: A total of 166 respondents were recruited over a period of four months, 67% of them were females and significantly younger than the males (p value=0.0039). The proportion of late presenters was 60%. Secondary level of education predicted late presentation compared to primary level (OR 5.6 P value= 0.004). PLWHIV whose length of time after diagnosis were between 1 to 3 months and those above 1 year were less likely to present late compared to those that presented within 1 month of diagnosis (OR 0.18, P value 0.03; OR 0.86 P value < 0.0001 respectively). Those who had no perception of illness were not likely to present late (OR 0.6; p value < 0.0001). Fever (OR 3.9; P value 0.025), rashes (OR 5.4 P value 0.002) defined late presentation

Conclusion: With the high level of late presentation of PLWHIV to health facilities, Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) need to be intensified at the community level and with the full implementation of the 'test and treat' policy, this situation may improve.

INTRODUCTION

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection is known as the most detrimental infectious disease, with over 36 million people already infected globally;¹ about 70% of people living with the virus live in sub-Saharan Africa.² In Nigeria about four million people are living with the virus, which makes Nigeria the country with second highest burden of HIV in the world.³ The 2013 National HIV/AIDS and Reproductive Health survey reported a national prevalence of 3.4% and 6.8% for

Corresponding Author: DR. MOTILEWA O. O. Department of Community Health, University of Uyo/University of Uyo Teaching Hospital, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Akwa Ibom State.⁴ Despite the availability of free voluntary counseling and testing many people are not aware of their serostatus. A report showed that about 50% of people living with HIV (PLWHIV) in sub-Sahara Africa are not aware of their status.⁵ Quite often, the fear of stigma and discrimination prevents people from accessing HIV screening service⁶ and even the asymptomatic PLWHIVs would prefer to wait till they show symptoms before accessing care.

One of the determinants of treatment success, is the health status of the patient at the point of accessing care. Patients who present late for care are less likely to have good treatment outcomes, leading to high mortality rate among them.^{7,8} In HIV infection, viral load increases when there is no treatment, which increases the risk of transmission and thus

constitutes a huge threat to the society.⁹ Symptoms are described as a person's perception of an abnormal physical, emotional and cognitive state and as the main reason why patients seek for health care.¹⁰ The symptoms at the point of initiating care are not specific and they vary among different individuals depending on their level of immunity and the exposure to opportunistic infections.^{11,12} Symptoms of advanced disease include persistent fever, oral thrush, persistent diarrhea, persistent rashes, severe weight loss and others symptoms of opportunistic infections.¹³

World Health Organization (WHO) categorized patients into four clinical stages based on the presenting symptoms. The European Consensus definition of late presentation is patients who present with WHO clinical staging 3, 4 or CD4 of less than 350cells/ml irrespective of the stage.¹⁴ The clinical staging is to guide in the management of HIV in resource limited settings like Nigeria and other developing countries.¹³ WHO came up with "test and treat all" policy in 2015 to fast track the achievements of HIV targets. The policy requires all individuals who test positive to HIV test should be commenced on antiretroviral treatment irrespective of their CD4 count or clinical stage.¹⁵ WHO set a global target to provide antiretroviral therapy to 90% of all HIV positive individuals.¹⁶ There is no study seen in the literature that had described selfreported symptoms and status of PLWHIV at the point of accessing care in Nigeria. Determining the proportion of HIV positive patients who presented late in our clinics may help health professionals to plan for appropriate care and treatment of advanced disease, and help to advice the program managers in resource allocations. The aim of this study was to identify the common self- reported symptoms of HIV patients at the initial visit to the HIV clinics in our locale and the socio demographic factors associated with late presentation.

MATERIALSAND METHODS

This was conducted in uyo, the capital city of Akwa Ibom State which is one of the oil producing states in the southern part of Nigeria; it has a population of 305,961 based on 2006 census.¹⁷ There are two health facilities that offer comprehensive antiretroviral services in Uyo. These are University of Uyo Teaching Hospital (UUTH), a tertiary level health institution and St Luke Hospital Anua (SLHA), a public private secondary level facility. Most Primary health facilities and eight private hospitals in Uyo offer voluntary counseling and testing (VCT) and Prevention of Mother to Child transmission (PMTCT) services within the city.

This was a descriptive cross-sectional study of newly enrolled HIV positive patients, who presented in the HIV clinics of University of Teaching Hospital and St Luke Hospital, Anua. The minimum sample size calculated using the percentage of late presentation from a previous study 67%,¹⁸ was adjusted to 165, based on 320, which was the estimated number of new patients seen over four months (71 and 9 new patients seen every month in SLHA and UUTH respectively). The adjusted sample size was proportionally allocated to UUTH and SLHA at a ratio of 1:8. All new patients who presented to both clinics were recruited consecutively over the period of four months, provided they gave informed written consent. However, patients with previous history of taking antiretroviral drugs and those with known history of tuberculosis, diabetes, hypertension and cancers were excluded from the study.

Data were collected by trained research assistants (Nurses and HIV volunteers working in the clinics), using a pre-tested interviewer administered structured questionnaire taken from WHOQOL-HIV BRIEF tool,¹⁹ this study was a part of Quality of Life of HIV patients' study. The baseline CD4 count and the clinical staging of the patients were extracted from their folders as documented by the clinician after their enrolment into care. The data were entered, cleaned, verified and analyzed using Stata version 10.

The outcome variables

The clinical presentation was categorized into early and late using European Consensus Definition of Late Presentation. Early presentation was WHO Clinical stages 1 and 2 with CD4 above 350cells/ml, while late presentation was WHO Clinical stages 3 and 4 and /or CD4 count below 350cells/ml. The categorical variables were described using proportions while mean and standard deviation were used to summarize continuous variables. The proportions of the patients who presented with each symptoms was also determined. Chi square test was used to determine association between variables while multivariate analysis was done for variables with p value<0.2 at bivariate level

Ethical consideration:

Ethical clearance and approval were obtained from Ethical Review Committee of University of Uyo Teaching Hospital as well as the review committee of Akwa Ibom State Ministry of Health. Recruitment into the study was voluntary and the decision not to participate did not affect their treatment in any way. The participants were interviewed individually within an enclosure in the clinics for privacy, names of the respondents were not documented on the questionnaire to ensure confidentiality. A written Informed consent was obtained from each respondent.

TABLE 1: THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC
CHARACTERISTICS AND SOME PARAMETERS OF THE RESPONDENTS

Variables	Sex n (%)		Total	Statistical	
	Male (n=55)	Female (n=111)	— n (%) (n=166)	inference	
Age (years)					
Less than 20	0 (0.0)	10 (9.0)	10 (6.0)	Df=4	
21-30	20 (36.4)	57 (51.4)	77 (46.4)	P value=0.011+*	
31-40	21 (38.2)	27 (24.3)	48 (28.9)		
41-50	13 (23.6)	15 (13.5)	28 (16.9)		
Above 50	1 (1.8)	2 (1.8)	3 (1.8)	Df=164 Ttest=2.9279	
Mean Age (SD)	34.7 (7.6)	30.5 (9.2)	31.9 (8.9)	P value= $0.004 +$	
Educational status		~ /	~ /		
No and Primary	14 (25.4)	36 (31.5)	50 (30.1)	Df=2	
Secondary	26 (47.3)	34 (30.6)	60 (36.1)	$\chi^2 = 4.4308$	
Post-secondary	15 (27.3)	41 (36.9)	56 (33.7)	p value=0.109	
Marital status		\$	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	· ·	
Single	21 (38.2)	55 (49.6)	76 (45.8)	Df=4	
Married	27 (49.1)	40 (36.0)	67 (40.4)	$Pvalue = 0.305^*$	
Cohabiting	2 (3.6)	2 (1.8)	4 (2.4)		
Separated	1 (1.8)	7 (6.3)	8 (4.8)		
Widowed	4 (7.3)	7 (6.3)	11 (6.6)		
Length of time since diagnosis					
Less than 1 month	28 (50.9)	67 (60.4)	95 (57.2)	Df=3	
Btw 1 and 3 months	12 (21.8)	25 (22.5)	37 (22.3)	$\chi^2 = 2.5425$	
Between 3 months and 1 year	11 (20.0)	13 (11.7)	24 (14.5)	p value=0.450	
Above 1 year	4 (7.3)	6 (5.4)	10 (6.0)		
Median length of time in wks (range)	3 (2-22)	2 (2-4)	3 (2-10)		
Possible route of transmission					
Sexual intercourse	44 (80.0)	96 (86.5)	140 (84.3)	Df=3	
Blood and blood products	6 (10.9)	9 (8.1)	15 (9.0)	p value=0.596*	
Other means	1 (1.8)	2 (1.8)	3 (1.8)	-	
Unknown	4 (7.3)	4 (3.6)	8 (4.8)		
Felt Ill				Df=1	
Yes	41 (74.6)	77 (69.4)	118 (71.1)	$\chi^2 = 0.4749$	
No	14 (25.5)	34 (30.6)	48 (28.9)	p value=0.489	
CD4 at presentation				Df=164	
Mean (SD)	297.1 (34.7)	303.3 (17.4)	301.2 (16.3)	Ttest=-0.1793 P value= 0.859	

Fischer's exact test + significant P value

٠

Less than 20 5 (62.5) 3 (37.5) Df=4 21-29 31 (44.9) 38 (55.1) χ^2 =7.2251 30-39 14 (28.6) 35 (71.4) P value=0.168 40 and above 16 (40.0) 24 (60.0) Mean (SD) 31.5 (9.5) 32.2 (8.4) Df=164 tt=-0.5394 P value=0.5903 Df=1 Polue=0.5903 Df=1 Sex Df=1 Df=1 Df=1 Df=1 Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) χ^2 =0.9335 Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status Secondary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =2.0943 Separated 2 (25.0)	Variables	Presentation n (%)		Statistical indices	
Less than 20 5 (62.5) 3 (37.5) Df=4 21-29 31 (44.9) 38 (55.1) χ^2 =7.2251 30-39 14 (28.6) 35 (71.4) P P value=0.168 40 and above 16 (40.0) 24 (60.0) Df=164 tt=-0.5394 P value=0.5903 Df=1 Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) χ^2 =0.9335 Female A7 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status No/Primary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 18 (30.0) 42 (70.0) χ^2 =3.7566 P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (26.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+		Early (n=66)	Late (n=100)	_	
21-29 31 (44.9) 38 (55.1) χ^2 =7.2251 30-39 14 (28.6) 35 (71.4) P value=0.168 40 and above 16 (40.0) 24 (60.0) P value=0.168 Mean (SD) 31.5 (9.5) 32.2 (8.4) Df=164 tt=-0.5394 P value=0.5903 Df=1 Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) χ^2 =0.9335 Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status No/Primary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) χ^2 =0.4122 No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value=0.0201+ Possible route of transmission Sexual intercourse </td <td>Age (years)</td> <td>• ` /</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Age (years)	• ` /			
30-39 14 (28.6) 35 (71.4) \tilde{P} value=0.168 40 and above 16 (40.0) 24 (60.0) \tilde{P} value=0.168 Mean (SD) 31.5 (9.5) 32.2 (8.4) $Df=164$ tt=-0.5394 P value=0.5903 $Df=1$ Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) $\chi^2=0.9335$ Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status No/Primary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) $Df=2$ Secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Marited /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) $\chi^2=5.0943$ Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) $Df=1$ Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) $\chi^2=20.4122$ No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+	Less than 20	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	Df=4	
40 and above 16 (40.0) 24 (60.0) Mean (SD) 31.5 (9.5) 32.2 (8.4) Df=164 tt=-0.5394 P value=0.5903 Sex Df=1 Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) χ^2 =0.9335 Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status No/Primary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) χ^2 =20.4122 No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+	21-29	31 (44.9)	38 (55.1)	$\chi^2 = 7.2251$	
Mean (SD) 31.5 (9.5) 32.2 (8.4) Df=164 tt=-0.5394 $P value=0.5903$ Sex Df=1 Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) $\chi^2=0.9335$ Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status No/Primary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Separated 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) $\chi^2=20.4122$ No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+ Possible route of transmission Secual intercourse 53 (37.9) 87 (62.1) Df=3 Blood and blood prods 4 (26.7) 11 (73.3) $\chi^2=9.8046$ Dt=4 Unknown 7 (87.5) 1 (12.5) Df=3 Legth of time since diagnosis 26 (32.1) 55 (67.9) Df=3 Less than 1 month 26 (35.3) 11 (64.7) P value=0.159	30-39	14 (28.6)	35 (71.4)	P value=0.168	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	40 and above	÷ ,	· · · ·		
P value=0.5903 Sex Df=1 Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) χ^2 =0.9335 Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status No/Primary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 18 (30.0) 42 (70.0) χ^2 =3.7566 Post-secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) 10f=1 Felt III χ^2 =20.4122 No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+	Mean (SD)	31.5 (9.5)	32.2 (8.4)		
Sex Df=1 Male 19 (34.5) 36 (65.5) χ^2 =0.9335 Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status No/Primary education 23 (46.0) 27 (54.0) Df=2 Secondary education 18 (30.0) 42 (70.0) χ^2 =3.7566 Post-secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) χ^2 =20.4122 No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+					
Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status Df=2 No/Primary education 18 (30.0) 42 (70.0) χ^2 =3.7566 Post-secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) χ^2 =20.4122 No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+	Sex			Df=1	
Female 47 (42.3) 64 (57.7) P value=0.334 Educational status Df=2 No/Primary education 18 (30.0) 42 (70.0) χ^2 =3.7566 Post-secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) χ^2 =20.4122 No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+	Male	19 (34.5)	36 (65.5)	$\chi^2 = 0.9335$	
Educational status Df=2 No/Primary education 18 (30.0) 42 (70.0) χ^2 =3.7566 Post-secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Maritel /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Felt III The second seco	Female				
Secondary education18 (30.0)42 (70.0) $\chi^2=3.7566$ Post-secondary education25 (44.6)31 (55.4)P value=0.153Marital statusSingle28 (36.8)48 (63.2)Df=3Married /cohabiting34 (47.9)37 (52.1) $\chi^2=5.0943$ Separated2 (25.0)6 (75.0)p value=0.165Widowed2 (18.2)9 (81.8)Felt IIIDf=1Yes34 (28.8)84 (71.2) $\chi^2=20.4122$ No32 (66.7)16 (33.3)p value<0.0001+Possible route of transmissionSexual intercourse53 (37.9)87 (62.1)Df=3Blood and blood prods4 (26.7)11 (73.3) $\chi^2=9.8046$ Other routes2 (66.7)1 (33.3)P value=0.020+*Unknown7 (87.5)1 (12.5)Df=3Less than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value=0.159Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164 P value=0.000+	Educational status	~ /	~ /		
Secondary education18 (30.0)42 (70.0) $\chi^2=3.7566$ Post-secondary education25 (44.6)31 (55.4)P value=0.153Marital statusSingle28 (36.8)48 (63.2)Df=3Married /cohabiting34 (47.9)37 (52.1) $\chi^2=5.0943$ Separated2 (25.0)6 (75.0)p value=0.165Widowed2 (18.2)9 (81.8)Felt IIIDf=1Yes34 (28.8)84 (71.2) $\chi^2=20.4122$ No32 (66.7)16 (33.3)p value<0.0001+Possible route of transmissionSexual intercourse53 (37.9)87 (62.1)Df=3Blood and blood prods4 (26.7)11 (73.3) $\chi^2=9.8046$ Other routes2 (66.7)1 (33.3)P value=0.020+*Unknown7 (87.5)1 (12.5)Df=3Less than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value=0.159Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164 P value=0.000+	No/Primary education	23 (46.0)	27 (54.0)		
Post-secondary education 25 (44.6) 31 (55.4) P value=0.153 Marital status Single 28 (36.8) 48 (63.2) Df=3 Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943 Separated 2 (25.0) 6 (75.0) p value=0.165 Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) χ^2 =20.4122 No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value<0.0001+ Possible route of transmission Sexual intercourse 53 (37.9) 87 (62.1) Df=3 Blood and blood prods 4 (26.7) 11 (73.3) χ^2 =9.8046 Other routes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) P value= 0.020+* Unknown 7 (87.5) 1 (12.5) Df=3 Less than 1 month 26 (32.1) 55 (67.9) Df=3 Btw 1 and 3 months 25 (49.0) 26 (51.0) χ^2 =5.1854 Between 3 months and 1 year 6 (35.3) 11 (64.7) P value=0.159 Above 1 year 9 (52.9) 8 (47.1) Df=164 CD4 at presentation Tt=-119.27 Df=164 <	Secondary education			$\chi^2 = 3.7566$	
Marital statusSingle28 (36.8)48 (63.2)Df=3Married /cohabiting34 (47.9)37 (52.1) χ^2 =5.0943Separated2 (25.0)6 (75.0)p value=0.165Widowed2 (18.2)9 (81.8)Df=1Yes34 (28.8)84 (71.2) χ^2 =20.4122No32 (66.7)16 (33.3)p value<0.0001+			()		
Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) $\chi^2 = 5.0943$ Separated2 (25.0)6 (75.0)p value=0.165Widowed2 (18.2)9 (81.8)Df=1Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) $\chi^2 = 20.4122$ No 32 (66.7)16 (33.3)p value< 0.0001+	Marital status	× /			
Married /cohabiting 34 (47.9) 37 (52.1) $\chi^2 = 5.0943$ Separated2 (25.0)6 (75.0)p value=0.165Widowed2 (18.2)9 (81.8)Df=1Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) $\chi^2 = 20.4122$ No 32 (66.7)16 (33.3)p value< 0.0001+	Single	28 (36.8)	48 (63.2)	Df=3	
Separated2 (25.0)6 (75.0)p value=0.165Widowed2 (18.2)9 (81.8)Df=1Yes34 (28.8)84 (71.2) χ^2 =20.4122No32 (66.7)16 (33.3)p value<0.0001+	Married /cohabiting	34 (47.9)		$\chi^2 = 5.0943$	
Widowed 2 (18.2) 9 (81.8) Felt III Df=1 Yes 34 (28.8) 84 (71.2) $\chi^2=20.4122$ No 32 (66.7) 16 (33.3) p value< 0.0001+ Possible route of transmission Sexual intercourse 53 (37.9) 87 (62.1) Df=3 Blood and blood prods 4 (26.7) 11 (73.3) $\chi^2=9.8046$ Other routes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) P value= 0.020+* Unknown 7 (87.5) 1 (12.5) Df=3 Less than 1 month 26 (32.1) 55 (67.9) Df=3 Btw 1 and 3 months 25 (49.0) 26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year 6 (35.3) 11 (64.7) P value=0.159 Above 1 year 9 (52.9) 8 (47.1) Tt=-119.27 CD4 at presentation 487.8 (18.5) 178.1 (14.2) Df=164 P value=0.000+	Separated	2 (25.0)		p value=0.165	
Yes $34 (28.8)$ $84 (71.2)$ $\chi^2 = 20.4122$ No $32 (66.7)$ $16 (33.3)$ p value< $0.0001+$ Possible route of transmissionSexual intercourse $53 (37.9)$ $87 (62.1)$ Df=3Blood and blood prods $4 (26.7)$ $11 (73.3)$ $\chi^2 = 9.8046$ Other routes $2 (66.7)$ $1 (33.3)$ P value= $0.020+*$ Unknown $7 (87.5)$ $1 (12.5)$ Length of time since diagnosisLess than 1 month $26 (32.1)$ $55 (67.9)$ Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months $25 (49.0)$ $26 (51.0)$ $\chi^2 = 5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year $6 (35.3)$ $11 (64.7)$ P value= 0.159 Above 1 year $9 (52.9)$ $8 (47.1)$ Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation $487.8 (18.5)$ $178.1 (14.2)$ Df=164P value= $0.000+$ $487.8 (18.5)$ $178.1 (14.2)$ Df=164	Widowed				
No32 (66.7)16 (33.3)p value< 0.0001+Possible route of transmission53 (37.9)87 (62.1)Df=3Blood and blood prods4 (26.7)11 (73.3) $\chi^2=9.8046$ Other routes2 (66.7)1 (33.3)P value= 0.020+*Unknown7 (87.5)1 (12.5)1Length of time since diagnosis26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value=0.159Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164P value=0.000+1000+1000+1000+	Felt Ill			Df=1	
Possible route of transmissionSexual intercourse53 (37.9)87 (62.1)Df=3Blood and blood prods4 (26.7)11 (73.3) $\chi^2=9.8046$ Other routes2 (66.7)1 (33.3)P value= 0.020+*Unknown7 (87.5)1 (12.5)Length of time since diagnosisLess than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value=0.159Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164P value=0.000+1000+1000+1000+	Yes	34 (28.8)	84 (71.2)	$\chi^2 = 20.4122$	
Sexual intercourse53 (37.9)87 (62.1)Df=3Blood and blood prods4 (26.7)11 (73.3) $\chi^2=9.8046$ Other routes2 (66.7)1 (33.3)P value= 0.020+*Unknown7 (87.5)1 (12.5)Length of time since diagnosisLess than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Less than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value=0.159Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164P value=0.000+1000+1000+1000+	No	32 (66.7)	16 (33.3)	p value $< 0.0001 +$	
Blood and blood prods4 (26.7) 11 (73.3) $\chi^2 = 9.8046$ Other routes2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) P value= $0.020+*$ Unknown7 (87.5) 1 (12.5) Length of time since diagnosisLess than 1 month26 (32.1) 55 (67.9) Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0) 26 (51.0) $\chi^2 = 5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3) 11 (64.7) P value= 0.159 Above 1 year9 (52.9) 8 (47.1) Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164P value= $0.000+$ 1000+1000+1000+	Possible route of transmission				
Other routes2 (66.7)1 (33.3)P value= $0.020+*$ Unknown7 (87.5)1 (12.5)Length of time since diagnosisLess than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value= 0.159 Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164P value= $0.000+$ 1000+1000+	Sexual intercourse	53 (37.9)	87 (62.1)	-	
Other routes2 (66.7)1 (33.3)P value= $0.020+*$ Unknown7 (87.5)1 (12.5)Length of time since diagnosisLess than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value= 0.159 Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentation487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164P value= $0.000+$ 1000+1000+	Blood and blood prods	4 (26.7)	11 (73.3)	$\chi^2 = 9.8046$	
Length of time since diagnosis 26 (32.1) 55 (67.9) Df=3 Less than 1 month 26 (32.1) 26 (51.0) χ^2 =5.1854 Btw 1 and 3 months 25 (49.0) 26 (51.0) χ^2 =5.1854 Between 3 months and 1 year 6 (35.3) 11 (64.7) P value=0.159 Above 1 year 9 (52.9) 8 (47.1) Tt=-119.27 CD4 at presentation 487.8 (18.5) 178.1 (14.2) Df=164 P value=0.000+ 1000+ 1000+ 1000+	Other routes	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	P value= 0.020+*	
Less than 1 month26 (32.1)55 (67.9)Df=3Btw 1 and 3 months25 (49.0)26 (51.0) $\chi^2=5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year6 (35.3)11 (64.7)P value=0.159Above 1 year9 (52.9)8 (47.1)Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentationTt=0.159Tt=-119.27Mean (SD)487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164P value=0.000+1000+1000+	Unknown	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)		
Bar 1 and 3 months $25 (49.0)$ $26 (51.0)$ $\chi^2 = 5.1854$ Between 3 months and 1 year $6 (35.3)$ $11 (64.7)$ P value=0.159Above 1 year $9 (52.9)$ $8 (47.1)$ Tt=-119.27CD4 at presentationTt=-119.27Df=164Mean (SD)487.8 (18.5)178.1 (14.2)Df=164	Length of time since diagnosis		•		
Between 3 months and 1 year 6 (35.3) 11 (64.7) P value=0.159 Above 1 year 9 (52.9) 8 (47.1) Tt=-119.27 CD4 at presentation 487.8 (18.5) 178.1 (14.2) Df=164 P value=0.000+	Less than 1 month	26 (32.1)	55 (67.9)		
Above 1 year 9 (52.9) 8 (47.1) CD4 at presentation Tt=-119.27 Mean (SD) 487.8 (18.5) 178.1 (14.2) Df=164 P value=0.000+	Btw 1 and 3 months	25 (49.0)	26 (51.0)	$\chi^2 = 5.1854$	
CD4 at presentation Tt=-119.27 Mean (SD) 487.8 (18.5) 178.1 (14.2) Df=164 P value=0.000+	Between 3 months and 1 year	6 (35.3)	11 (64.7)	P value=0.159	
CD4 at presentation Tt=-119.27 Mean (SD) 487.8 (18.5) 178.1 (14.2) Df=164 P value=0.000+	Above 1 year	9 (52.9)			
P value=0.000+	CD4 at presentation			Tt=-119.27	
P value=0.000+	Mean (SD)	487.8 (18.5)	178.1 (14.2)	Df=164	
		D 1		P value=0.000+	

TABLE 2: DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS ACCORDING TO THEIR SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CLINICAL STAGE OF PRESENTATION

*fischer's exact test +significant P value

RESULTS

A total 166 respondents were successfully recruited for the study, out of which 67% (111) were females. The respondents aged 18 to 58 years, with a mean \pm SD of 31.9 \pm 8.9 years. Forty-six percent of the respondents (77/166) were within age group 21-30 years and the females were significantly younger than the male (P=0.0039). A total of 116 (69.8%) had at least secondary level of education and more than 50% of them were living alone. The mean CD4 was 301.2 ± 16.3 cells/ml, these were similar in both sexes

More than half (60.2%) of the respondents presented late to clinics for HIV care. About 57% presented within 1 month of being aware of their HIV serostatus. The median interval of presentation from the time of diagnosis was 3 weeks. At the time of presentation, less than one-third (29%) of them

Variable	Odd ratio	95%CI	P value
Age (years)			
Less than 20	Ref		
20-29	3.49	0.44-27.90	0.238
30-39	7.83	0.82-75.10	0.078
40-49	2.02	0.16-25-60	0.588
Sex			
Male	Ref		
Female	0.85	0.34-2.14	0.738
Educational status			
Primary education and below	Ref		
Secondary education	5.6	1.71-18.38	0.004 +
Post-second. Education	2.06	0.68-6.29	0.202
Marital status			
Single	Ref		
Married /cohabiting	0.91	0.31-2.70	0.867
Separated	0.69	0.07-6.53	0.749
Widowed	4.99	0.26-96.26	0.287
Felt Ill			
Yes	Ref		
No	0.6	0.02-0.23	< 0.001+
Possible route of transmission			
Sexual intercourse	Ref		
Blood and blood prods	2.98	0.53-16.74	0.214
Other routes	0.21	0.02-2.93	0.247
Unknown	0.19	0.01-2.70	0.221
Length of time since diagnosis			
Less than 1 month	Ref.		
Btw 1 and 3 months	0.18	0.06-0.56	0.003 +
Between 3 months and 1 year	0.86	0.16-4.46	0.855
Above 1 year +significant P value	0.06	0.01-0.29	<0.001+

TABLE 3: LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF FACTORS ASSOCIATEDWITH LATE PRESENTATION OF HIV PATIENTS AT THE CLINICS IN UYO

went to the clinics despite not being ill. Sexual intercourse was the most important possible route of HIV transmission (84.3%) among the respondents (Table 1)

At bivariate level, possible route of transmission and being ill, showed significant association with late presentation. The mean CD4 for the late presenters was 178.1 (14.2) cells/ml. (Table 2). At the multivariate analysis, secondary level of education, being ill and short length of time from the point of diagnosis were the predictors of late presentation (Table 3) symptoms at presentation, 21%, 18.7% and 16.9% respectively. Fever, rashes and frequent stooling were significantly associated with late presentation at the bivariate level with p value of 0.002, 0.003 and 0.012 respectively.(Table 4)

Multivariate analysis showed that PLWHIV who presented with Fever were over 3 times more likely to present at a late stage of the disease, compared to those without fever (P value= 0.025) and those with rashes were over 5-fold more likely to present as a late stage of the disease than those without rashes (P value= 0.025). (Table 5)

Fever, cough and rashes were the most common

Complaints	Presentation n (%)		Total n (%)	Statistical indices
	Early (n=66)	Late (n=100)	- (n=166)	
Fever	* , , ,			Df=1
Yes	6 (12.7)	29 (29.0)	35 (21.1)	$\chi^2 = 9.471$
No	60 (87.3)	71 (71.0)	131 (78.9)	p value= $0.002+$
Cough				Df=1
Yes	8 (12.1)	23 (23.0)	31 (18.7)	$\chi^2 = 3.0983$
No	58 (87.9)	77 (77.0)	135 (81.3)	p value=0.078
Weakness				Df=1
Yes	5 (7.6)	8 (8.0)	13 (7.8)	χ ² =0.0099
No	61 (92.4)	92 (92.0)	153 (92.2)	p value=0.921
Pains				Df=1
Yes	11 (16.7)	13 (13.0)	24 (14.5)	$\chi^2 = 0.4322$
No	55 (83.3)	87 (87.0)	142 (85.5)	p value=0.511
Rashes				Df=1
Yes	4 (6.1)	24 (24.0)	28 (16.9)	p value=0.003*+
No	62 (93.9)	76 (76.0)	138 (83.1)	
Stooling				Df=1
Yes	0 (0.0)	9 (9.0)	9 (5.4)	p value=0.012*+
No	66 (100)	91 (91.0)	157 (94.6)	
Weight loss				Df=1
Yes	4 (6.1)	4 (4.0)	8 (4.8)	$\chi^2 = 0.3680$
No	62 (93.9)	96 (96.0)	158 (95.2)	p value=0.544*
Internal heat				Df=1
Yes	5 (7.6)	3 (3.0)	8 (4.8)	p value=0.267*
No	61 (92.4)	97 (97.0)	158 (95.2)	
Others				Df=1
Yes	4 (6.1)	10 (10.0)	14 (8.4)	P value= 0.398*
No	62 (93.9)	90 (90.0)	152 (91.6)	
No symptom				Df=1
Yes	25 (38.0)	14 (14.0)	39 (23.5)	$\chi^2 = 12.6127$
No	41 (62.0)	86 (86.0)	127 (76.5)	p value<0.0001+
Symptoms count				Df=2
No symptom	25 (37.9)	14 (14.0)	39 (23.5)	$\chi^2 = 13.2108$
1-2 symptoms	37 (56.1)	81 (81.0)	118 (71.1)	p value < 0.0001 +
Above 2 symptoms	4 (6.1)	5 (5.0)	9 (5.4)	-

TABLE 4: DISTRIBUTION OF INITIAL SYMPTOMS OF THE RESPONDENTSACCORDING TO THE CLINICAL STAGE AT PRESENTATION TO THE CLINICS IN UYO

*Fischer's exact test, + significant P value

TABLE 5: MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF INITIAL SYMPTOMS IN ASSOCIATED WITH LATE PRESENTATION OF HIV PATIENTS AT INITIAL VISITS TO THE CLINICS IN UYO

Symptoms	Odd ratio	95%CI	P value
Fever			
No	Ref		
Yes	3.92	1.56-8.65	0.025 +
Rashes			
No	Ref		
Yes	5.42	1.96-19.19	0.002 +
Cough			
No	Ref		
Yes	2.39	0.94-6.08	0.068
+ Significant P value			

Ibom Med. J. Vol.12 No.2 Aug, 2019

www.ibommedicaljournal.org

DISCUSSION

The socio demographic characteristic of the PLWHA at initial visits showed that 67% of them were females. This was a little above 60% which was reported in south Eastern Nigeria,¹⁵ and has grave implications on mother- to child transmission of the disease, since HIV infected women who are pregnant are more likely to transmit the infection to their children. The mean age was 31.⁹ years and the females were significantly younger than the males, findings which are similar to what was reported in Ekiti.²⁰ The most common age group at presentation was 21-30 years, (46%). This was a much younger population compared to the national figure of 35-40 years.⁴ This age group may help to maintain HIV transmission in the society, since many may still be single and more likely to be sexually active, considering the fact that sexual intercourse was the most perceived route of transmission in the study by more than eighty percent of the respondents.

In the present study, out of the 81 respondents that were recently diagnosed (less than 1 month prior to the study), about 68% of them presented late. Seventy-one percent perceived they were ill at presentation, and this perception was a predictor for late presentation. It can be inferred that until PLWHIV perceived that they were ill, they would not access HIV screening service and that may explain why majority presented within one month of diagnosis. The implication of this is that the risk of transmission will be high within the population, much so when one considers the high prevalence of HIV in Akwa Ibom State. Though several studies have shown that patients presented late in developing countries, the proportion ranged from 40- 55% depending on their definition of late presentation.^{21,22,23} Studies done in Lagos and Jos using CD4 below 350 cells/ml similar to this study, reported prevalence of 67.4%¹⁸ and 85.6% respectively²⁴, which were much higher than the 60.2% found in the present study.

The mean CD4 among the late presenters of 178.1 +/- 16.2 which was less than 200cells/ml, CD4 of less than 200 cells/ml has been described as advanced HIV disease in 2007.¹³ CD4 is the numerical estimations of the level of immunity of the patients, the lower it is the more susceptible an individual becomes to a wide range of infections.²⁵ A study done in Jos showed that CD4 less than

200cells/ml was a predictor of morbidity and mortality.²⁶

The present study also showed that those with secondary level of education were about 5 fold more likely to present late compared to those with primary education, no other study was seen to show any relationship. One would expect that the higher the level of education the more the uptake of healthcare services, possibly at this level of education the respondents were more aware of the disease and more conscious of stigmatization. Socio demographic characteristics like age and sex did not affect the clinical stage of presentation of the respondents. This is in contrast to a study done in Northern Nigeria where male gender and those above 35 years were more likely to present late to the clinic.²⁶

At presentation, 76% of the respondents were symptomatic, and came with one or two complains. A study done in Cape Town reported nausea, pain and vomiting as the most frequently reported symptoms²⁷ while in the present study fever, cough and rashes were the topmost symptoms. Fever and rashes were strong predictors of late presentation among the respondents, this is supported by the fact that persistent fever of more than 30 days had been described by WHO as AIDS defining.¹³

This study was limited by the fact that it depended on patients' self-reporting of symptoms, no detailed history taking was done to elucidate other symptoms the patients might have had at presentation, also, most factors such as socio economic and environmental factors associated with late presentation were not considered in this study.

In conclusion, symptoms like fever and rashes were the predictors of late presentation of HIV in this setting. It is hoped that test and treat policy will help to reduce the high proportion of late presentation. This will be achieved with high level of awareness on screening at the community level.

REFERENCES:

1. UNAIDS: Fact sheet- latest statistics on the status of AIDS epidemic/ UNIADS 2016. [accessed 10th February, 2018] Available at www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet

- UNAIDS: UNAIDS Report on the global AIDS epidemic. 2010. [Accessed on Feb 04,2018]. A v a i l a b l e f r o m : http://www.unaids.org/documents/20101123_ GlobalReport Annexes1 em.pdf
- 3. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/ country/documents/NGA_2018_countryreport. pdf accessed on December 14th , 2018
- 4. Federal Republic of Nigeria. National HIV and AIDS and Reproductive Health Survey 2012. Abuja: Federal Ministry of Health; 2013.
- 5. World Health Organization (WHO) (2010). Towards Universal access: Scaling up priority HIV/AIDS interventions in the health sector. Progress Report 2010. World Health Organization WHO), Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), UN Childrens' Agency (UNICEF). Retrieved from http://www.who.int/
- 6. Nyika H, Muguruni O. Shambira G, Gombe NT, Bangure D, Mungati M, Tshimanga M. Factors Associated with Late presentation for HIV/AIDS Care in Harare City, Zimbabwe. BMC Public Health 2016;16:369
- Castilla J, Sobrino P, de la Fuente L, Noguer I, Guerra L, Parras F. Late diagnosis of HIV infection in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: consequences for AIDS incidence. Aids. 2002;16:1945. doi: 10.1097/00002030-200209270-00012.
- Sterling TR, Chaisson RE, Keruly J, Moore RD. Improved outcomes with earlier initiation of highly active antiretroviral therapy among human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients who achieve durable virologic suppression: Longer follow-up of an observational cohort study. J Infect Dis. 2003;188:1659–65.
- Leisegang R, Cleary S, Hislop M, Davidse A, Regensberg L, Little F, et al. Early and late direct costs in a Southern African antiretroviral treatment programme: a retrospective cohort analysis. Plos Med.2009;6:e1000189. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000189.
- Wilson IB, Cleary PD. Linking clinical variables with health-related quality of life: A conceptual model of patient outcomes. JAMA. 1995;273:59–65. doi: 10.1001/jama.273.1.59.
- 11. Kigozi IM, Dobkin LM, Martin JN, Geng EH, Muyindike W, Emenyonu NI, Bangesberg DR,

Hahn JA. Late Disease Stage at presentation to an HIV clinic in the era of free antiretroviral therapy in sub Sahara Africa. J Acquir Immune D e f i c S y n d r 2009 52 (2):280 doi.10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181ab6eab

- 12. Centre for Disease Control (2017). HIV Basics. [accessed on Feb 19th 2018] Available from https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/livingwithhiv/ opportunisticinfections.html.
- 13. World Health Organization. WHO Case Definitions of HIV Surveillance and Revised Clinical staging and Immunological classification of HIV related Disease in Adults and Children Geneva. 2007 pg9 available at: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/HIVsta ging50307.pdf[accessed on Feb 19th 2018]
- 14. Antinori A, Coene T, Costagiola D, Dedes N, Eliefson M, Gatell, J, et al. Late presentation of HIV infection: a consensus definition. HIV Med, 2011; vol, 12 issue 1:61-64
- 15. WHO, Progress Report 2016, Prevent HIV Test and Treat all Geneva, 2016, available at http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/progressreports/20 16-progress-report/en/ accessed on 25th June 2018
- 16. UNAIDS/WHO. 90-90-90: An ambitious treatment target to help end the AIDS epidemic.
 2 0 1 4 . A v a i l a b l e f r o m : http://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents /2014/90-90-90. Accessed 19th June 2018
- Federal Population of Nigeria Official Gazatte. Report of the census 2006 final results, Abuja, 2nd February, 2009
- Akinbami A, Dosunmu A, Adediran A et al. CD4 count pattern and demographic distribution of treatment-naïve HIV patients in Lagos, Nigeria. AIDS Res Treat 2012; 2012: 352753.
- 19. The WHOQOL HIV group. The development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment psycho. Med 1998:28:551-8.
- 20. Adekunle AE, Oladimeji AA, Temi AP, Adeseye AI, Akinyeye OA, Taiwo RH. Baseline CD4+ T lymphocyte cell counts, hepatitis B and C viruses seropositivity in adults with Human Immunodeficiency Virus infection at a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2011;9:6
- 21. Eleje GU, Ele, PU, Iloduba UC. Epidemiological and Clinical Parameters Adult

Ibom Med. J. Vol.12 No.2 Aug, 2019

Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome at the Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy in South Eastern Nigeria. Ann Med. Health Sci. 2014:4(2):217-221

- 22. Gyuse AN, Bassey IE, Udonwa NE, Okokon IB, Philip-Ephraim EE. HIV/AIDS related mortality among adult medical patients in a tertiary health institution in South-South, Nigeria. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2010;3(2):141–144.
- 23. Parrott FR, Mwafulirwa C, Ngwira B et al. Combining qualitative and quantitative evidence to determine factors leading to late presentation for antiretroviral therapy in Malawi. PLoS ONE 2011; 6: e27917.
- 24. Agada PA, Meloni ST, Sule HM, Agbaji OO, Ekeh PN, Job GC, Nyango N,Ugoagwu PO, Imade GE, Idoko JA, Kanki PJ. Patients who present late to HIV care and associated risk factors in Nigeria.HIV Med, 2014;15:396-405
- 25. Pitcher C, Honing S, Fingerhut A, Bowers K, Marsh M. Cluster of Differentiation antigen 4 (CD4) endocytosis and adaptor complex require activation of the CD4 endocytosis signal by serine phosphorylation. Mol.Bio. Cell 1999 Mar 10 (3) 677-9
- 26. Agaba P, Digin E, Makai R, Apena L, Agbaji O, Idoko J, Murphy R, Kanki P (2011) Clinical characteristics and predictors of mortality in hospitalized HIV-infected Nigerians. J Infect D e v C t r i e s 5:377-382. doi: https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.1096)
- 27. Peltzer K, Phaswana-Mafuya N. The symptom Experience of People Living with HIV and AIDS in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. BMC Health serv Re. 2008;8:271