

---

## PERCEPTION OF AND ATTITUDE TOWARDS MENTAL ILLNESS AMONG RESIDENTS OF A COMMUNITY IN SOUTH- SOUTH NIGERIA

Ofonime E. Johnson<sup>1</sup>, Bibiana M. Benson<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Community Health, University of Uyo Teaching Hospital, Uyo, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Clinical Sciences, University of Uyo, Nigeria

---

### ABSTRACT

**Context:** The burden of mental illness is increasing steadily and cuts across every community.

**Objectives:** This study was carried out to determine the perception and attitude towards mental illness among residents of a community in Nigeria.

**Study Design:** A cross sectional descriptive study was conducted among residents of Obio Offot community in southern Nigeria in June 2016. Participants were selected using cluster sampling method and data collected with semi-structured, interviewer administered questionnaires and analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Level of significance was set at 5%.

**Results:** A total of 272 respondents participated in the study. The average age of respondent was  $29.3 \pm 10.14$  years, consisting of 52.6% males and 47.4% females. Majority, 72.1% had tertiary education. Almost half of the respondents, 46.0% felt mental illness could not be cured. Various attitudes of the respondents towards the treated mentally ill included shame, 81.3%, unwillingness to share rooms, 64.7%, and avoiding all contacts, 41.9%. Majority, 76.5% and 73.5% respectively, considered them as public nuisances and mentally retarded. Identified options of care included psychiatric hospital, 89.3% and church, 72.8%. Unwillingness to share room and perceiving the treated mentally ill as dangerous increased with literacy ( $p < 0.05$ ) The commonest perceived causes of mental illness were substance abuse, 92.3%, brain disease 86.4% and traumatic events 59.2%.

**Conclusion:** Despite the high level of literacy among the respondents, there were many stigmatizing attitudes towards the treated mentally ill. A multi dimensional approach is needed towards ensuring social acceptance of the treated mentally ill.

**Key words:** Mental illness, community, perception, stigma, attitude, Nigeria

### INTRODUCTION

Mental health is an essential and integral component of health. It is a state of emotional and psychological well-being in which an individual is able to use his or her cognitive and emotional capabilities, function in society and meet the ordinary demands of everyday life.<sup>1</sup> Mental illness on the other hand refers to disorders generally characterised by deregulation of mood, thought and /or behaviour.<sup>2</sup> The burden of mental illness continues to increase over time. Globally, an estimated 350 million people suffer from depression, 21 million from schizophrenia and other psychosis, 60 million from bipolar affective disorders and 47.5 million from dementia.<sup>3</sup> This growing burden amounts to huge cost in terms of human misery, disability and economic loss.<sup>4</sup> In Nigeria, the prevalence of mental illness is reported at 20% and with a population of 140 million, with less than 100 psychiatrists, the ratio of psychiatrist to population is 1:1.4million.<sup>5</sup>

Studies have shown that people suffering from mental illness experience stigmatization in many communities.<sup>6-11</sup> The condition is often perceived as frightening, shameful, and incurable, while the mentally ill are characterised as dangerous, unpredictable, unstable, untrustworthy, incompetent and helpless in the community.<sup>7,8,10,11</sup> Stigma generally lowers their access to resources and opportunities such as housing and employment and leads to diminished self

---

Corresponding Author: Dr Ofonime E. Johnson  
Department of Community Health,  
University of Uyo Teaching Hospital, Uyo  
Telephone: +2348161518358  
E-mail: drjohnsonoe@yahoo.com

esteem and greater isolation and hopeless life.<sup>8</sup> In all, public stigma towards mental illness matters as it sets the context in which individuals in the community respond to the onset of mental health problems, clinicians respond to individual who come for treatment and public policy about mental illness is crafted.<sup>12</sup>

Research done suggests that poor knowledge of the cause of mental illness especially an attribution to supernatural causation as well as very negative view of person with mental illness is consequentially characterized by intolerance of even basic social contact with people known to have such illness.<sup>7</sup> The most common perceived causes of mental illness reported in studies include substance misuse, possession by evil spirit, punishment from God, trauma, stress and hereditary.<sup>6,13,14</sup>

Community attitude and beliefs play a role in determining help seeking behaviour and successful treatment of the mentally ill.<sup>14</sup> In Nigeria, the strong spiritual connotation attributed to mental illness often results in treatment being commonly sought from prayer houses before considering psychiatric hospitals.<sup>15</sup> Interestingly, educational interventions that frame the aetiology of mental illness as having a largely biological or genetic component have been shown to counter certain forms of stigma.<sup>16,17</sup> Direct contact with person with mental illness also appears to be a critical component in stigma reduction.<sup>18</sup>

There has been limited research in the area of perception and attitude towards people with mental illness among community members in Akwa Ibom State. The study was conducted to determine perception of and attitude towards people with mental illness in a community in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State.

## METHODOLOGY

### Study Area

The study was carried out in Obio Offot, a community in Uyo Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State, located in the coastal southern part of Nigeria. Uyo had a projected

population of 413,381 in 2015.<sup>19</sup>

### Study Population

The study was carried out among adults in Nung Akpe, one of the five villages in Obio Offot Community in Uyo Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State.

### Inclusion criteria

Residents of Obio Offot Community in Uyo Local Government Area who were above 18 years were included in the study.

### Exclusion criteria

Mentally retarded persons, those less than 18 years and temporary visitors to the study area were excluded from the study.

### Study Design

A cross sectional descriptive study was carried out among residents of Obio Offot community.

### Sample Size Determination

The formula for descriptive study was used, with  $p$  of 0.2 being the prevalence in a target population estimated to have mental illness in a previous study<sup>20</sup> and a 95% confidence interval set at 1.96 with an acceptable margin of sampling error of 0.05. The calculated minimum sample size was 246. To compensate for improperly filled questionnaires, a non-response rate of 10% (24.6) was added to the minimum sample size. This brought the total sample size to 270.6. However, for the purpose of this study, 300 adults were enrolled in the study.

### Sampling Method and Data Collection

Obio Offot Community is made up of five villages in clusters. One of the villages was chosen by simple random sampling method and two eligible persons were administered the questionnaire in each household. Data was collected using a semi-structured, interviewer administered questionnaire which sought information on the respondent's socio demographic characteristics, knowledge about mental illness, perceived causes of

mental illness, attitude towards treated mentally ill person, practice of care of people with mental illness and acceptability of mental health facilities. Seven undergraduate medical students were involved in data collection as research assistants. The questionnaires were translated into the local language for those who didn't understand English. Data collection lasted for a period of eight days in June 2016.

**Data Management**

The data obtained was analyzed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Analysis was carried out using descriptive statistics (Frequency, proportions, means and standard deviation to summarize variables). Chi square test was used to test the significance of association between variables. Level of significance was set at 5%.

**Ethical Considerations**

Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the Akwa Ibom State Health Research

Committee and permission to conduct the research was received from the community head. Each respondent's consent was obtained after the objectives of the study and the rights of the respondents were clearly spelt out. In order to ensure confidentiality and anonymity, serial numbers and not names were used.

**RESULTS**

Out of 300 respondents who were enrolled in the study, 272 participated to the end giving response rate of 90.7%. The average age of respondent was 29.3 ± 10.14 years. A total of 143 (52.6%) males and 129 (47.4%) females participated in the study. Majority, 72.1% had tertiary education. (Table 1)

Two hundred and fifty five (93.8%) respondents believed there was a lot of stigma associated with mental illness. However, 204(75.0%) believed that living a normal life in the community would help a person with mental illness after treatment, while 181(66.5%) believed that mental illness could be treated outside the hospital. One hundred and twenty five (46.0%) however said that

**TABLE 1: SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS**

Variable	Frequency	%
N=272		
<b>Age</b>		
<20	12	4.4
20-25	78	28.7
26-30	83	30.5
31-35	56	20.6
36-40	29	10.7
>40	14	5.2
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	143	52.6
Female	129	47.4
<b>Educational Level</b>		
Primary	8	2.9
Secondary	68	25.0
Tertiary	196	72.1

**TABLE 2: RESPONDENTS' PERCEPTION ABOUT MENTAL ILLNESS**

Variables	Frequency	
	N=272	%
<b>There is still a lot of stigma attached to mentally illness</b>		
Yes	255	93.8
No	17	6.2
<b>Most people with mental illness can improve with treatment</b>		
Yes	251	92.3
No	21	7.7
<b>One can only tell a mentally ill person by appearance</b>		
Yes	226	83.1
No	46	16.9
<b>Virtually anyone can become mentally ill</b>		
Yes	214	78.7
No	58	21.3
<b>Living a normal life in the community will help a treated mentally ill</b>		
Yes	206	75.7
No	66	24.3
<b>Mental illness can be treated outside the hospital</b>		
Yes	181	66.5
No	91	33.5
<b>The mentally ill are far less of a danger than most people believe</b>		
Yes	145	53.3
No	127	46.7
<b>Mental illness cannot be cured</b>		
Yes	125	46.0
No	147	54.0

mental illness could not be cured. (Table 2)

The various attitudes of the respondents towards the treated mentally ill included shame, 81.3%, unwillingness to share a room, 64.7%, and avoiding all contact 41.9%. Majority, 76.5% said the treated mentally ill were public nuisances, while 200 (73.5%) believed they tended to be mentally retarded. (Table 3)

Two hundred and forty three (89.3%) of respondents believed that the mentally ill should be cared for in the psychiatric hospital, while 72.8% believed they should be taken to

church. (Table 4)

Majority of those with tertiary education, 189 (96.4%) said the treated mentally ill were stigmatized ( $p < 0.05$ ). There was a significant association between educational level and unwillingness to share a room, avoiding contact with the treated mentally ill and considering them as dangerous. These negative attitudes increased with literacy ( $p < 0.05$ ). Even though it was not statistically significant, a higher proportion of those with tertiary education, 146 (74.5%) said the treated mentally ill tended to be mentally

**TABLE 3: RESPONDENTS' BELIEF AND ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE TREATED MENTALLY ILL**

VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	%
N=272		
<b>Would be Ashamed of treated mentally ill relative</b>		
Yes	221	81.3
No	51	18.7
<b>The treated mentally ill are public nuisance</b>		
Yes	208	76.5
No	64	23.5
<b>The treated mentally ill are mentally retarded</b>		
Yes	200	73.5
No	72	26.5
<b>Unwilling to share a room with a treated mentally ill</b>		
Yes	176	64.7
No	96	35.3
<b>The mentally ill should be prevented from having children</b>		
Yes	137	50.4
No	135	49.6
<b>Avoid all contact with the treated mentally ill</b>		
Yes	114	41.9
No	158	58.1

retarded ( $p > 0.05$ ). (Table 5)  
 Two hundred and fifty one (92.3%) respondents felt that substance abuse was a major cause of mental illness, while 235(86.4%) attributed the cause to brain disease and 59.2% believed that traumatic events could cause mental illness.(Fig 1)

**DISCUSSION**

This study was carried out in a local community in southern Nigeria. Despite the high literacy of the respondents, their attitude and beliefs portrayed poor knowledge about mental illness. Many believed that mental illness could not be cured and the treated mentally ill tended to be regarded as mentally retarded. Similar studies reported poor knowledge about mental illness and this had no association with their level of education.<sup>7,21,22</sup>

Majority of respondents in the present study had negative attitude towards the treated mentally ill as close to two-thirds reported unwillingness to share a room and most said they would be ashamed of a family member diagnosed with mental illness. Similar finding was reported in a study in India, where 55% were ashamed to mention that anyone in their family had mental illness.<sup>22</sup> Such attitude could lead to unwillingness to seek medical treatment for the affected relative. A study in China on the contrary reported that treated psychosis was viewed relatively benignly.<sup>23</sup> This would help in reintegrating such people into the community more easily. Although many of the respondents in the present study believed that mental illness could be treated, majority felt the mentally ill still had some imbalance in the mind despite treatment. Similarly, in a study done in

TABLE 4: RESPONDENTS' OPINION OF TYPE OF CARE SUITABLE FOR PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS

VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	%
N=272		
<b>They should be taken to the psychiatric hospital</b>		
YES	243	89.3
NO	29	10.7
<b>Mentally ill should be taken to church</b>		
YES	198	72.8
NO	74	27.2
<b>Mentally ill should be treated by traditional healers</b>		
YES	82	30.1
NO	190	69.9
<b>Mentally ill people should be kept at home</b>		
YES	78	28.7
NO	194	71.3

Cameroon among university students, only 39.5% believed that mental illness could be treated.<sup>21</sup> This may explain the lack of acceptance and stigmatization of the treated mentally ill in the community. Such attitude fuels the myth that mental illness is lifelong, hopeless and deserving of revulsion.<sup>24</sup> A survey done in a Nigerian teaching hospital on stigmatising attitudes towards the mentally ill revealed that 82.7% of the respondents were of the opinion that the mentally ill should be denied individual rights while 51.0% were opposed to having mentally ill patients living in their neighbourhood.<sup>6</sup> On the contrary, an Indian community which had positive perception regarding treatment outcome of mental illness was reported to have kind and non-stigmatizing attitude towards the mentally ill. Stress was viewed by them as the commonest cause of mental illness.<sup>25</sup> Studies have shown that stigma towards the mentally ill is deep rooted in various socio demographic factors.<sup>26,27</sup> The extent of such stigma varies according to the cultural and sociological backgrounds of such society.<sup>13</sup>

In the present study, higher educational level did not seem to improve attitude towards the treated mentally ill. Unwillingness to share room and perceiving the treated mentally ill as dangerous significantly increased with literacy. Similar finding was reported in Ethiopia where college or university students had a higher belief that people with mental illness were threats to the society and should be avoided.<sup>28</sup> In contrast, a study done in Northern Nigeria reported that literacy was found to be significantly associated with positive attitude towards the mentally sick,<sup>14</sup> while a study in Oman found no relationship between beliefs of the respondents and educational level.<sup>13</sup> These differences in study findings suggest that other factors, such as the local beliefs also affect the individual's attitude towards mental illness.

Studies have shown that educating people alone about mental illness may not automatically lead to improvement in their attitudes towards the mentally ill.<sup>29,30</sup> This suggests that a multi dimensional approach is needed towards ensuring social acceptance of

TABLE 5: ASSOCIATION BETWEEN RESPONDENTS' EDUCATION AND PERCEPTION OF MENTAL ILLNESS

VARIABLES	EDUCATIONAL LEVEL			Statistics
	Primary	Secondary	Tertiary	
	N=8	N=68	N=196	
	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	
<b>Treated mentally ill are stigmatized</b>				
Yes	4(50.0)	62(91.2)	189 (96.4)	$\chi^2=29.30$
No	4(50.0)	6 (8.8)	7 (3.6)	p= 0.01*
<b>Avoid all contact with treated mentally ill</b>				
Yes	0 (0.0)	38(55.9)	76 (38.8)	$\chi^2=12.02$
No	8(100.0)	30( 44.1)	12 (61.2)	p= 0.01*
<b>Treated mentally ill tend to be mentally retarded</b>				
Yes	4 (50.0)	50 (73.5)	146 (74.5)	$\chi^2= 2.37$
No	4 (50.0)	18 (26.5)	50 (25.5)	p=0.31
<b>The treated mentally ill are dangerous</b>				
No	8 (100.0)	40 (58.8)	97 (49.5)	$\chi^2=8.99$
Yes	0 (0.0)	28 (41.2)	99 (50.5)	p=0.01*
<b>Willing to share a room</b>				
Yes	8 (100)	39 (57.3)	51 (26.0)	$\chi^2=42.49$
No	0 ( 0.0)	29 (42.6)	145 (74.0)	p= 0.01*
<b>One should hide his/her mental illness</b>				
Yes	4 ( 50.0)	14 (20.6)	24 (12.2)	$\chi^2=12.55$
No	4 (50.0)	54 (79.4)	172 (87.8)	p=0.01*


\*Significant

the treated mentally ill. One strategy which contributed to improved attitude in New Zealand was advertisement involving the stories of well known and famous people who had experienced mental illness.<sup>31</sup>

In the present study, substance abuse was the commonest perceived cause of mental illness, followed by brain disease and traumatic events. Psychoactive substance use commonly comes to the mind of many people as the cause of mental illness, especially if a young adult is affected as most substances are commonly sold in communities in the raw and refined forms. This assumption affects people's sympathy and acceptance of the mentally ill. In a similar study in a teaching hospital in southern Nigeria, 89.4% thought it could result from abuse of drugs, 82.7%

traumatic events, and 68.3% genetics.<sup>6</sup> On the contrary, in a study in Western Nigeria, over 90% thought mental illnesses could result from punishment from God.<sup>7</sup> This belief leads to intolerance of even basic social contacts with people known to have such illnesses.

Concerning the choice of care of the mentally ill in the present study, most respondents believed that psychiatric hospital was the best place for treatment, followed by church. In contrast, respondents in Enugu identified prayer house as the first choice for treatment (34.5%), followed by psychiatric hospital (32%).<sup>15</sup> In both studies, prayer houses were highly considered because of the spiritual connotation given to mental illness. A study on the treatment seeking behaviour of mentally ill patients in a rural area of India



**Fig 1: Respondents' Perception of Causes of Mental Illness**

reported that the modality of treatment was significantly influenced by the perception of illness and the attributed cause.<sup>32</sup>

In the present study, though up to three quarters of the respondents said integrating the treated mentally ill into the community would help in recuperation, they still gave the option of institutional care probably due to fear of violence or relapse of the mentally ill. This implies that community based treatment may not be fully accepted in the study area. In a study in Oman, majority preferred that facilities for psychiatric care should be

located away from the community.<sup>13</sup> Long term custodial care and isolation from the community have been suggested to be the sequel to rejection by the family.<sup>33</sup> In contrast a study done in Taiwan reported a high level of tolerance of rehabilitation in the community.<sup>27</sup> Community beliefs about mental illness seems to vary from place to place.

**CONCLUSION**

Despite the high literacy level of the respondents in this study, their perception of



those diagnosed and treated of mental illness did not reflect adequate understanding of mental illness. Hence, there was stigmatization and negative attitude towards such persons. Awareness campaigns and seminars should be organised by mental health professionals and other stakeholders to community members and the public in general to sensitize them about the treated mentally ill so that they can be better accepted and allowed to carry out their activities without fear of harassment.

### References:

1. American Heritage dictionary of the English language, 5<sup>th</sup> edition. Published by Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Publishing Company. 2016.
2. CDC. Mental illness. Accessed at <https://www.cdc.gov/mentalhealth/basic/mental-illness>. 27<sup>th</sup> April 2016.
3. WHO; Mental health Atlas 2014: Mental disorders fact sheet World Health Organization Geneva, Switzerland. Accessed April 2016.
4. Araya R, Rojas G, Fritsch R, Acuna J, Lewis G. Common mental disorders in Santiago, Chile: prevalence and socio-demographic correlates. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2001; 178(3):228-233.
5. Gurege O. Revisiting the National Mental Health Policy for Nigeria. *Archives of Ibadan Medicine*. 2003;5(1):2-4
6. Ukpong DI, Abasiubong F. Stigmatising attitude towards the mentally ill: A survey in a Nigerian university teaching hospital. *SAJP*. 2010;16(2):56-60.
7. Gurege O, Lasebikan VO, Ephraim-Oluwanuga O, Olley BO, Kola L. Community study of knowledge of and attitude to mental illness in Nigeria. *Brit. J. Psychiatry*. 2005; 186: 436-41.
8. Corrigan PW and Watson AC; Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry*. 2002; 1(1):16-20.
9. Brockington IF, Hall P, Levings J & Murphy C. The community's tolerance of mental illness. *Brit J Psychiatry*. 2013; 1155(10):319-429.
10. Crisp AH, Gelder MG, Rix S, Meltzer HI, Rowlands OJ. Stigmatisation of people with mental illness. *Brit J Psychiatry*. 2000; 177:4-7.
11. Corrigan PW, Edward AB, Green A, Diwan SL, Penn DL; Prejudice, Social Distance and Familiarity with Mental Illness. *Schizophr Bull*. 2001; 27(2):219-225.
12. Pescosolido BA, Martin JK, Long JS, Medina TR, Phelan JC, Link BG. "A Disease Like Any Other"? A Decade of Change in Public Reactions to Schizophrenia, Depression, and Alcohol Dependence. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167(11):1321-1330
13. Al-Adawi S, Dorvlo AS, Al-Ismaily SS, Al-Ghafry DA, Al-Noobi BZ, Al-Salmi A, et al; Perception of and Attitude towards mental illness in Oman; *IJSP*. 2002; 48(4):305-317
14. Kabir M, Iliyasu Z, Abubakar IS, Aliyu MH. Perception and beliefs about mental illness among adults in Karfi village, northern Nigeria. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2004;4:3
15. Aniebiue PN, Ekwueme CO. Health seeking behaviour of mentally ill patients in Enugu, Nigeria. *SAJP*. 2009; 15(1):19-21
16. Mann C.E, Himelein M.J. Putting the person back into psychopathology: an intervention to reduce mental illness stigma in the classroom. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2008; 43 (7):545-51.
17. Brown K., Bradley L. Reducing the stigma of mental illness. *Journal of Mental Health Counseling*. 2002; 24(1):81-87.
18. Corrigan PW, Morris SB, Michaels PJ, Rafacz JD, Rusch N; Challenging the public stigma of mental illness: A

- Meta-analysis of outcome studies. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2012; 63 (10): 963-73.
19. Ministry of Economic Development, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Projected Population 2007-2015, April 2014.
  20. Australian Bureau of Statistics. National survey of mental health and wellbeing: summary of results, Australia, 2007. ABS cat. no. 4326.0. Canberra: ABS 2008.
  21. Nguendo-Yongsi HB. Knowledge and Social Distance towards Mental Disorders in an Inner-City population: Case of University Students in Cameroon. *Trends in Medical Research.* 2015; 10(4): 87-96.
  22. Ganesh K. Knowledge and attitude of mental illness among general public of southern India. *Natl J Community Med.* 2011; 2(1): 175-178.
  23. Yang LH, Lo G, WonPat-Borja AJ, Singla DR, Link BG & Phillips MR; Effects of labelling and interpersonal contact upon attitudes towards schizophrenia: implications for reducing mental illness stigma in urban China. *Soc Psychiatry Epidemiology.* 2012 Sep; 47(9): 1459-1473.
  24. Hinshaw SP. *The Mark of Shame: Stigma of Mental illness and an Agenda for Change.* 1<sup>st</sup> Edition. Oxford UK: Oxford University Press. 2006.
  25. Salve H, Goswami K, Sagar R, Nongkynrin B, Sreenivas V. Perception and attitude towards mental illness in an urban community in South Delhi- A community based study. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2013; 35(2): 154-158
  26. Audu I, Idris S, Olisah V, Sheikh T. Stigmatization of people with Mental Illness among Inhabitants of a Rural Community in Northern Nigeria. *Int J. Soc Psychiatry.* 2013; 59 (1): 55-60.
  27. Song LY, Chang LY Shih CY, Lin CY, Yang MJ. Community attitudes towards the mentally ill: the results of a national survey of the Taiwanese population. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2005; 51 (2): 162-76.
  28. Bedaso A, Yeneabat T, Yohannis Z, Bedasso K, Feyera F. Community Attitude and Associated Factors towards People with Mental Illness among Residents of Worabe Town, Silte Zone, Southern Nation's Nationalities and People's Region, Ethiopia. *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(3): e0149429
  29. Schomerus G, Schwahn C, Holzinger A, Corrigan P. W, Grabe H. J. et al. Evolution of public attitude about mental illness : A systematic review and meta – analysis. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2012; 125(6): 440-52.
  30. Angermeyer M. C., Holzinger A, Matschinger H. Mental health literacy and attitudes towards people with mental illness; A trend analysis based on population surveys in the eastern part of Germany. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2009; 24 (4): 225-32
  31. Vaughan G, Hansen C. Like Minds, Like Mine: a New Zealand project to counter the stigma and discrimination associated with mental illness. *Australas Psychiatry.* 2004; 12(2): 113-7
  32. Sharma P, Vohra AK, Khurana H: Treatment seeking behaviour of mentally ill patients in a rural area: A cross sectional study. *Indian J Community Med.* 2007; 32(4): 290-291.
  33. Hollingshead AB, Redlich F. C. Social class and mental illness, a community study. *Am J Public Health.* 2007; 97 (10): 1756-1757.